

Table 4.3. Stressor Metrics and Monitoring Program Details.

Indicator	Monitoring Metric / Parameter	Monitoring Data Program / Responsible Party	Frequency
Fishing Pressure Index	Index of fishing pressure	Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessel logs by CDFW; aerial surveys conducted by LightHawk and TBF	Opportunistic quarterly surveys; unknowns due to COVID and funding; CDFW frequency unknown
Anthropogenic Discharges and Runoff	Plume probability mapping	Remote sensing data (satellite)	Opportunistic surveys / research
	Runoff pollutants and point-source discharge	No current programs	No current programs
Landslides and Sedimentation (Landscape Modification)	Maps of landslide events and vulnerability assessment	Possible remote sensing data (Planet satellite)	Opportunistic surveys / research
	Direct burial, scour, etc.	Possible remote sensing data (Planet satellite)	Opportunistic surveys / research
	Water velocities and patterns	Some historical data from ADCPs along PV Peninsula	Opportunistic surveys / research
Turbidity / Light Penetration	Light penetration / depth	Some historical data may be available from one site location (Marguerite) by UC Davis	No current programs
	Tracking HAB events	No current programs	No current programs
	Chlorophyll	Remote sensing data (satellite)	Opportunistic surveys / research

Table 4.4. Climate Vulnerability Metrics and Monitoring Program Details.

Indicator	Monitoring Metric / Parameter	Monitoring Data Program / Responsible Party	Frequency
Water Temperature Change	Temperature	SCCOOS SM Pier data; National Weather Service offshore station data	SCCOOS – hourly, when available; NWS daily
		TBF and UC Davis HOBO-loggers collecting temperature data (15-minute interval) and miniDOT data on abalone sites (10-minute interval)	TBF data opportunistically for four-month periods
		CTD by CLA-EMD	Annually
		UC Davis miniCTD deployed historically at Marguerite for approximately two years	Historical data
Increased Storminess	Wave energy	Bottom-mounted pressure sensors (Seabird Wave and Tide Gauges and Open Wave Height Loggers) from UC Davis (historical study); buoy data from NOAA and Scripps Institution of Oceanography	Historical data for UC Davis study (periodically 2016-2019); real time data from NOAA/Scripps
Invertebrate Recruitment (OA)	pCO <sub>2</sub> , dissolved oxygen, pH	LACSD has a single location offshore annual dataset (pCO <sub>2</sub> , pH; see pelagic chapter); TBF deploys miniDOT data on abalone sites (10-minute interval)	LACSD – one site annually (not on a reef); TBF data opportunistically for four-month periods
	Benthic invertebrate composition and size class	CRANE surveys by VRG and others; MPA data by VRG in Malibu	CRANE annually

## **Data Sharing and Reporting**

Rocky reef monitoring data will be compiled and analyzed approximately every five years associated with production of the SMBNEP SotB Report and led by the NEP’s Technical Advisory Committee. The SotB Report will be made publicly available via website. Data will be consolidated and used to develop the SotB condition and trend graphics and will be represented visually when possible. Detailed information on data quality control, quality assurance, database management, and analysis will be available in the next update of SMBNEP’s Quality Assurance Program Plan, scheduled for review in 2021. Data will be stored on TBF’s servers with summaries available to the public upon request. When possible, data will be incorporated into public databases or data sharing portals.

## **Data Gaps and Future Studies**

Habitat extent data for rocky reefs are present for some categories of habitats (e.g., maps of urchin barrens versus kelp forests) and limited in others (e.g., surfgrass areas). Additionally, an important metric for habitat extent that still needs development is a metric of vertical complexity using sonar or another method.

Many of the major outstanding data gaps that remain for the rocky reef habitat include limited geographic scope of many of the biological and physical indicators. Geographic ranges for existing data have been focused around the PV Peninsula and limited in places such as Malibu. Expansion of existing standardized protocols such as CRANE surveys into new geographic regions in the Bay is recommended. Additional geographic limitations include categories of habitat such as deep reefs, artificial reefs, and surfgrass habitats. Some data collection at the Hyperion outfall pipes (1-mile and 5-mile) is being conducted by LASAN, but it has not been translated into the indicators listed above. Additionally, little quantitative information associated with landslides is known or tracked impacting rocky reef systems.

Data gaps identified in the 2015 SotB Report included recommendations for further development of the rocky reef fish index, commercially important and other invertebrate data analyses, and other biological response variables. Several CMP rocky reef indicators are either not fully developed or do not have identified monitoring programs (e.g., anthropogenic discharges, landslides and sedimentation, and turbidity / light penetration). Additionally, the invertebrate recruitment indicator categorized in the “climate vulnerability” category needs further development. Table 4.5 summarizes priority data gaps identified for the rocky reefs habitat; types of data gaps; potential sources of funding at the federal, state, and local levels for filling these data gaps; and cross-references to relevant actions and potential funding sources identified in the 2019 CCMP Finance Plan (also provided in Table 9.3 of Chapter 9).

Next steps for this habitat type include continuing to prioritize and fill data gaps listed above and in Tables 4.2-4.5, especially the categories that are “no current programs” and require more information, as well as additional new studies that could further support the evaluation of the key indices for this habitat. Note that Tables 4.2-4.4 may look complete, but still may have spatial or metric data gaps. Future studies that are recommended include expansion of data sets identified for priority indicators in the tables above and use of additional monitoring methods or research tools. Examples include drone surveys for high resolution kelp cover, fishery stock models for Santa Monica Bay, ROV-AUV surveys, or data for deep reefs and other sites. There is an expressed interest for better tracking for stormwater plumes, coastal landslides, and spatially increased water quality data. Specific efforts should also prioritize water quality to better characterize the inputs of wildfires. Additionally, several indicators in the tables above could be supported by analysis of targeted satellite data from NASA over time.

Table 4.5. Rocky Reef Habitat – Summary of Data Gaps and Potential Funding Sources.

Indicator Category	Rocky Reef Habitat Data Gaps	Data Gap Type	Potential Funding Source(s)
Habitat Extent	ROV, sonar, and other surveys for characterization of deep reefs, surfgrass habitats, and other sites	Special study (new data acquisition, new methods/tools development)	Prop. 50 and others (2019 CCMP Finance Plan Action #37)
	Metric for vertical complexity	Special study (new methods/tools)	Prop. 50 and others (2019 CCMP Finance Plan Action #37)
Ecological Condition	Expansion of existing CRANE surveys into new geographic regions in the Bay	Index component	Unknown
Stressor	Fishing Pressure Index	Index development; Index component	Prop. 50, others
	Point source discharge and runoff pollutant loading and plume mapping	Single metric; Special study (existing data, new methods/tools development)	Unknown
	Landslide event mapping and vulnerability assessment	Single metric; Special study (existing data)	Unknown
	HAB tracking with remote sensing	Single metric; Special study (new methods/tools development)	OPC, NOAA, MERHAB program (2019 CCMP Finance Plan Action #35)
Climate Vulnerability	Impacts of acidification on benthic invertebrate mortality	Special study (existing data)	Sea Grant, OPC, SCC, others (2019 CCMP Finance Plan Action #36)

## Literature Cited

- Claisse, J., D.J. Pondella II, J.P. Williams, J. Sadd (2012). Using GIS Mapping of the Extent of Nearshore Rocky Reefs to Estimate the Abundance and Reproductive Output of Important Fishery Species. Plos One
- Grime, B., R. Sanders, H. Burdick, T. Ford, J. Williams, C. Williams, and D. Pondella (2020). Palos Verdes Kelp Forest Restoration Project, Project Year 7: July 2019 – June 2020 (SC-3108). Technical Report prepared by The Bay Foundation for California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 99 pages.
- Grubbs, M.W., K.K. Johnston, G. Wang, and T. Ford. 2016. Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment of the Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program’s Bay Restoration Plan. Final Report prepared by the Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program for the United States Environmental Protection Agency. 30 September, 2016. 184 pages.
- Montrose Settlements Restoration Program (MSRP) (2015). Kelp forests and rocky reefs. Available at: <http://www.montroserestoration.noaa.gov/restoration/fish-habitat/kelp-forests-and-rocky-reef/> [Accessed on 28 July 2015].
- Pondella II, D. (2015). “State of the Bay Report: Habitat Conditions: Rocky Reefs.” Urban Coast 5(1): 98-107.
- Pondella II, D., J. Williams, J. Claisse, B. Schaffner, K. Ritter, and K. Schiff (2015). The Physical Characteristics of Nearshore Rocky Reefs in The Southern California Bight. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences, 114(3): 105-122.